Stormwater Management Richmond County - 2014

Overview of Design Principles and Best Management Practices - 3/19/14

Water Cycle



Where does Non Point Source Pollution originate?

- Streets, Parking Lots
- Rural Homes
- Forests (very little)
- Cropland
- Animal Feedlots
- Urban/Suburban Developent



Forests produce the least amount of Non Point Source Pollution, but they cover a large area, so they do contribute to NPSP.



Parking Lots, Streets, Interstates, and Highways all do not allow rainwater to infiltrate, thus all of the rain that hits the pavement runs off, taking the tire rubber, brake dust, transmission fluid and oil drips that are on the pavement into local waterways.



Environmental Site Design (ESD)

Careful site planning at the outset of a project is the most effective approach for preventing or reducing the potential adverse impacts from development



ESD Comprehensive Approach

- In the past, "stormwater management" has been defined largely as stormwater *disposal*
- A new and better approach is based on comprehensive understanding of stormwater



This is an example of a wet stormwater pond. It is designed to always hold water, and when a storm comes to slowly release the water to receiving streams.



This is an example of the type of runoff from a construction site, with soil that is not stabilized (vegetated). Note the murky water with soil particles suspended in the water.

The new Virginia Stormwater Management regulations seek to reduce the amount of soil and nutrients entering Virginia waters.



ESD = Balance



ESD Goals

- Promote runoff control through the use of natural drainage systems
- Reduce the environmental impact of commonly used land development and drainage methods

ESD for Water Table Level Protection

- In addition to maintaining natural drainage:
 - Provide natural open-space based drainage system using undeveloped flood plains and drainage swales
 - Avoid channelization within the natural drainage system
 - Maintain forest cover and other natural vegetation

ESD Techniques

 Optimize conservation of natural features (Drainage patterns, soil, vegetation, etc.)



ESD Techniques

 Minimize impervious surfaces (Pavement, concrete channels, rooftops, etc.)



ESD Techniques

Slow down runoff to maintain discharge timing and to increase infiltration and evapotranspiration



ESD Benefits

- , Runoff volumes and pollutant loads =
- Economic savings
 - Reduced infrastructure requirements
 - Decreased need for site clearing and grading
 - Less expenditure to meet stormwater management requirements
- Environmental benefits
 - Improved water quality!

ESD – Where Can it be Used?

- Environmental Site Design techniques are mostly applied at sites of new development
- It is more difficult to achieve ESD at redevelopment sites due to lack of space, compacted soils, and the constructed drainage system and utilities that are already in place

ESD – 8 Principles

- 1. Achieve multiple objectives
- Integrate stormwater management and design early in the site planning and design process
- 3. Prevent problems to avoid having to mitigate them
- 4. Conserve resources and minimize land cover changes

ESD – 8 principles

- 5. Design the development to fit the terrain
- 6. Apply decisions that have the effect of maintaining the natural site hydrology
- 7. Manage stormwater as close to the point of origin as possible
- Rely to the maximum on natural processes that occur within the soil and plant community

Runoff Reduction Method



Traditional BMPs

1,000,000 liters of stormwater (multiple storm events) 100 kg Total pollutant

load



1,000,000 liters of

stormwater

(multiple storm

events)

50 kg Total pollutant load discharged over time

50 mg/L

of pollutant

(average)

No volume reduction, only load reduction

RRM, "New" BMPs



RRM

The Runoff Reduction Method rewards the use of volume reducing BMPS



RRM Principles

- Conserve forested areas/open space
- Conserve soils with high infiltration rates (reserving and limiting compaction)
- Treatment Train / Routing

In order to meet the requirements of the VSMP to reduce runoff from a site after development, a developer has to take in consideration the above principles to have the amount of stormwater reduced to mandated levels required by the VSMP.

RRM: Step 1 - Enter site information

	Virginia Runoff Reduction Mothed Workshoot Revised 02/25/2011						
1	Virginia Runon Reduction Me		leet Kevise	u 03/25/2011			
2	Site Data						
3							
4	Project Name:						
5	Date:						
6							
7		data input cells					
8		calculation cells					
9		constant values					
10							
11	1. Post-Development Project	& Land Cove	er Information	1			
12							
13	Constants						
14							
15	Annual Rainfall (inches)	43					
16	Target Rainfall Event (inches)	1.00					
17	Phosphorus EMC (mg/L)	0.26		Nitrogen EMC (mg/L)	1.86		
18	Target Phosphorus Target Load (Ib/acre/yr)	0.41					
19	Pj	0.90					
20							
21	Land Cover (acres)						
22		A soils	B Soils	C Soils	D Soils	Totals	
	Forest/Open Space (acres) undisturbed,						
23	protected forest/open space or reforested land	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Managed Turf (acres) disturbed, graded for						
24	yards or other turf to be mowed/managed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
25	Impervious Cover (acres)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
26					Total	0.00	
27							
28	Rv Coefficients						
29		A soils	B Soils	C Soils	D Soils		
30	Forest/Open Space	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05		
31	Managed Turf	0.15	0.20	0.22	0.25		
32	Impervious Cover	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95		
0.0							

RRM: Step 1 - Enter site information

	A	В	С	D	E	F
14						
15	Annual Rainfall (inches)	43				
16	Target Rainfall Event (inches)	1.00				
17	Phosphorus EMC (mg/L)	0.26		Nitrogen EMC (mg/L)	1.86	
18	Target Phosphorus Target Load (lb/acre/yr)	0.41		, s		
19	Pi	0.90				
20						
21	Land Cover (acres)					
22		A soils	B Soils	C Soils	D Soils	Totals
	Forest/Open Space (acres) undisturbed,					
23	protected forest/open space or reforested land	0.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	5.00
	Managed Turf (acres) disturbed, graded for					
24	yards or other turf to be mowed/managed	0.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	2.00
25	Impervious Cover (acres)	0.00	0.00	10.00	0.00	10.00
26					Total	17.00
27						
28	Rv Coefficients					
29		A soils	B Soils	C Soils	D Soils	
30	Forest/Open Space	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05	
31	Managed Turf	0.15	0.20	0.22	0.25	
32	Impervious Cover	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	
33						
34						
35						
36	Land Cover Summary					
37	Forest/Open Space Cover (acres)	5.00				
38	Weighted Rv(forest)	0.03				
39	% Forest	29%				
40	Managed Turf Cover (acres)	2.00				
41	Weighted Rv(turf)	0.20				
42	% Managed Turf	12%				
43	Impervious Cover (acres)	10.00				
44	Rv(impervious)	0.95				
45	% Impervious	59%				
46	Total Site Area (acres)	17.00				
47	Site Rv	0.59				
48			[
49	Post-Development Treatment Volume (acre-ft)	0.84				
	Post-Development Treatment Volume (cubic					
50	feet)	36,482				
51	Post_Development Load (TP) (lb/yr)	22.92	Post_Devel	opment Load (TN) (lb/yr)	163.97	
52	Total Load (TP) Reduction Required (lb/yr)	15.95				
60						

RRM: Step 2 – Select the BMPs

	G17 🔹 💿	∫x Credit Area	a (acres)											
4	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н		J	К	L	М	N
15														1
16	Apply Runoff Reduction Pra	actices to Redu	uce Treat	ment Volu	ime & Pos	t-Developm	ent Load in Dr	ainage Area A						
17	Credit	Unit		Description	of Credit	Credit	Credit Area ∫ <u>acres</u>)	Yolume from Upstream RR Practice (cf)	Runoff Reduction (cf)	Remaining Runoff Yolume (cf)	Phosphorus Efficiency (%)	Phosphorus Load from Upstream RR Practices (Ibs)	Untreated Phosphorus Load to Practice (lbs.)	Phosphorus Removed By Practice (lbs.)
18	1. Vegetated Roof													
19	1.a. Vegetated Roof #1 (Spec #5)	acres of green ro	oof	45% runoff volun	ne reduction	0.45	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
20	1.b. Vegetated Roof #2 (Spec #5)	acres of green ro	oof I	60% runoff volur	ne reduction	0.60	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
21	- · · ·													
22	2. Rooftop Disconnection													
23	2.a. Simple Disconnection to A/B Soils (Spec #1)	impervious acres disco	50 onnected	0% runoff volume treated a	ereduction for area	0.50	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
24	2.b. Simple Disconnection to C/D Soils (Spec #1)	impervious acres disco	onnected 25	5% runoff volume treated a	ereduction for area	0.25	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	2.c. To Soil Amended Filter Path as per specifications (existing C/D soils) (Spec #4)	impervious acres disco	50 onnected	0% runoff volume treated a	ereduction for area	0.50	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	2.d. To Dry Well or French Drain #1 (Microinfilration #1) (Spec #8)	impervious acres disco	50 onnected	0% runoff volume treated a	reduction for area	0.50	0.00	0	0	0	25	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	2.e. To Dry Well or French Drain #2 (Micro- Infiltration #2) (Spec #8)	impervious acres disco	90 onnected	0% runoff volume treated a	ereduction for area	0.90	0.00	0	0	0	25	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	2.f. To Rain Garden #1 (Micro-Bioretention #1) (Spec #9)	impervious acres disco	onnected	40% of volume	e captured	0.40	0.00	0	0	0	25	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	2.g. To Rain Garden #2 (Micro-Bioretention #2) (Spec #9)	impervious acres disco	onnected 80	0% runoff volume treated a	reduction for area	0.80	0.00	0	0	0	50	0.00	0.00	0.00
30	2.h. To Rainwater Harvesting (Spec #6)	impervious acres ca	aptured b	based on tank siz	e and design	0.00	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
	2.i. To Stormwater Planter (Urban		40	0% runoff volume	reduction for									
31	Bioretention) (Spec #9, Appendix A)	impervious acres disco	onnected	treated a	area	0.40	0.00	0	0	0	25	0.00	0.00	0.00
32														
33	3. Permeable Pavement													
	3 a Permeable Pavement #1(Snec #7)	acres of permeable pay acres of "external" (unr	vement + oradient)											
	🕨 🕅 Site Data 🖉 D.A. A 🖉 D.A. B	D.A. C / D.A. D) / D.A. E /	Water Quali	ty Compliance	Channel	and Flood Protection	\$ 7						

RRM: Step 3 – Check the site results

	A	D
1	Site Results	
2	Phosphorous	
3	TOTAL TREATMENT VOLUME (cf)	11,870
4	TOTAL PHOSPHOROUS LOAD REDUCTION REQUIRED (LB/YEAR)	5.00
5		
6	RUNOFF REDUCTION (cf)	2586
7	PHOSPHOROUS LOAD REDUCTION ACHIEVED (LB/YR)	1.62
8		
9	ADJUSTED POST-DEVELOPMENT PHOSPHOROUS LOAD (TP) (lb/yr)	5.83
10		
11	REMAINING PHOSPHOROUS LOAD REDUCTION (LB/YR) NEEDED	3.37
12		
13		
14		
15	Nitrogen (for information purposes)	
16	TOTAL TREATMENT VOLUME (cf)	11,870
17		
18		
19	RUNOFF REDUCTION (cf)	2586
20	NITROGEN LOAD REDUCTION ACHIEVED (LB/YR)	11.61
21		
22	ADJUSTED POST-DEVELOPMENT NITROGEN LOAD (TP) (lb/yr)	41.74
23		

RRM: Step 4 - Repeat 2 & 3 until you reach the required reduction.

1	Site Results	
2	Phosphorous	
3	TOTAL TREATMENT VOLUME (cf)	CONGRATULATIONS!
4	TOTAL PHOSPHOROUS LOAD REDUCTION REQUIRED (LB/YEAR)	YOU EXCEEDED THE
5		TARGET REDUCTION
6	RUNOFF REDUCTION (cf)	
7	PHOSPHOROUS LOAD REDUCTION ACHIEVED (LB/YR)	DT U.O LD/TEAR!!
8		1.00
9	ADJUSTED POST-DEVELOPMENT PHOSPHOROUS LOAD (TP) (lb/yr)	1.88
10		CONCRATULATION
11	REMAINING PHOSPHOROUS LOAD REDUCTION (LB/YR) NEEDED	CONGRATULATION:
12		
1/		
14	Nitrogen (for information purposes)	
16	TOTAL TREATMENT VOLUME (cf)	11.870
17	, ,	
18		
19	RUNOFF REDUCTION (cf)	8259
20	NITROGEN LOAD REDUCTION ACHIEVED (LB/YR)	40.46
21		
22	ADJUSTED POST-DEVELOPMENT NITROGEN LOAD (TP) (lb/yr)	12.89
23		

BMP Clearinghouse – http://www.vwrrc.vt.edu/swc

Website serves several key purposes:

- Design standards and specifications for all Virginia approved BMPs
- Results of Virginia's process to evaluate and certify the performance claims of manufactured proprietary BMPs
- Provide information and links to related websites

15 Non-Proprietary BMPs

- Used for complying with the Act and Regulations
- Value reducing runoff volume and maximizing nutrient removal
- Each BMP has a different capacity to reduce runoff volume and remove nutrients

BMP: #1 – Rooftop disconnection



- Simple disconnection whereby rooftops and/or on-lot residential impervious surfaces are directed to pervious areas
- Disconnection leading to an alternative runoff reduction practice(s) adjacent to the roof

BMP: #2 – Sheet flow to vegetated filter strip or conserved open space



BMP: #3 – Grass channels

The local street right of way is the prime location to use channels



BMP: #4 – Soil compost amendments



Construction site soils can be compacted to a bulk density similar to concrete Compacted or poorly-drained soils on a site can be improved by incorporating organic material such as compost

BMP: #5 - Vegetated roofs

Benefits			
Removal of TP by Runoff Reduction	Yes		
Removal of TP by Treatment	No		



BMP: #6 - Rainwater harvesting



Rainwater is collected and conveyed for non potable uses
Toilet flushing
Landscape irrigation
Exterior washing



BMP: #7 – Permeable pavement

 Allow rainfall to flow through the surface or surrounding spaces into the stone reservoir below



BMP: #8 – Infiltration



BMP: #8 – Infiltration



Benefits			
Removal of TP by Runoff Reduction	Yes		
Removal of TP by Treatment	Yes		

BMP: #9 - Bioretention basins

Surface runoff is directed into a shallow landscaped depression that incorporates many of the pollutant removal mechanisms that operate in forested ecosystems







BMP: #10 – Dry swales



Soil filter system that temporarily stores and then filters the desired treatment volume (Tv)

BMP: # 11 - Wet swales

 Cross between a wetland and a swale
 Intercept shallow groundwater to maintain a wetland plant community



BMP: # 12 - Filtering practices



Useful for treating stormwater runoff from small, highly impervious sites

BMP: #13 - Constructed wetlands

- Runoff from each storm displaces runoff from previous storms
- Long residence time allows multiple pollutant processes to occur



BMP: #14 – Wet ponds



Consist of a permanent pool of standing water that promotes a better environment for gravitational settling, biological uptake, and microbial activity

BMP: # 15 – Extended detention pond

Relies on 12 to 24 hour detention of stormwater runoff after each rain event



Combinations of practices work better than one alone – treatment train......that reduces runoff volume and/or pollutant loads at each stage.....and leads to reductions in damaging runoff and pollution to our water system.

Stormwater Management Program

Thanks for Your Interest - ??????s